ORIGINAL

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ECEIVED
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of

Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996

CC Docket No. 96-128

MOTION OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS COUNCIL AND THE INMATE CALLING SERVICE PROVIDERS COALITION TO CONSOLIDATE APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW AND TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR CONSOLIDATED APPLICATIONS

> Albert H. Kramer Robert F. Aldrich David M. Janas DICKSTÉIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 (202) **7**85-9700

Attorneys for the American Public Communications Council and the Inmate Calling Service Providers Coalition

May 5, 1997

No. of Copies rec'd\_ List A B C D E

690191 - #SJZ011.SAM (A5691.524)

## Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Implementation of the Pay Telephone	)	CC Docket No. 96-128
Reclassification and Compensation	)	
Provisions of the Telecommunications	)	
Act of 1996	Ć	

MOTION OF THE AMERICAN PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS
COUNCIL AND THE INMATE CALLING SERVICE PROVIDERS
COALITION TO CONSOLIDATE APPLICATIONS FOR REVIEW
AND TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR CONSOLIDATED APPLICATIONS

Pursuant to Section 1.41 of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.41, the American Public Communications Council ("APCC") and the Inmate Calling Service Providers Coalition (the "ICSPC") hereby move for leave (1) to file consolidated applications for review of the Common Carrier Bureau's April 15, 1997 Orders on the Comparably Efficient Interconnection ("CEI") Plans of Ameritech, Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies ("Bell Atlantic"), BellSouth Corporation ("BellSouth"), NYNEX Telephone Companies ("NYNEX"), Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell (jointly, "PacTel"), Southwestern Bell Telephone Company ("SWBT") and U S West, Inc. ("U S West"), <sup>1</sup>

See Ameritech's Plan to Provide Comparably Efficient Interconnection to Providers of Pay Telephone Services, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-790, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("Ameritech CEI Order"); Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies' Comparably Efficient Interconnection Plan for the (Footnote continued)

and (2) to exceed, if necessary, the 25-page limit for applications for review, 47 C.F.R. § 1.15(f)(1). Under this motion, APCC and ICSPC each would file their own application for review. Each party's application for review would address all seven (7) of the CEI Orders.

The Commission's <u>CEI Orders</u> share common issues of law. For example, each <u>CEI Order</u> addresses CEI Plan requirements, including unbundling basic services; interface functionality; resale; technical characteristics; installation, maintenance and repair; end user access; CEI availability; minimization of transport costs; and recipients of CEI, and other

<sup>(</sup>Footnote continued)

Provision of Basic Payphone Services, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-791, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("Bell Atlantic CEI Order"); BellSouth Corporation's Offer of Comparably Efficient Interconnection to Payphone Service Providers, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-792, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("BellSouth CEI Order"); The NYNEX Telephone Companies' Offer of Comparably Efficient Interconnection to Payphone Service Providers, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-793, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("NYNEX CEI Order"); Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell Comparably Efficient Interconnection Plan for the Provision of Basic Telephone Service, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-794, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("PacTel CEI Order"); Southwestern Bell Telephone Company's Comparably Efficient Interconnection Plan for the Provision of Basic Payphone Services, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-795, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("SWBT CEI Order"); U S West's Comparably Efficient Interconnection Plan for Payphone Services, Implementation of the Pay Telephone Reclassification and Compensation Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-128, Order, DA 97-796, Released April 15, 1997 (CCB) ("US West CEI Order"). The Ameritech CEI Order, Bell Atlantic CEI Order, BellSouth CEI Order, NYNEX CEI Order, PacTel CEI Order, SWBT CEI Order and U S West CEI Order are referred to collectively herein as "CEI Orders."

nonstructural safeguards. In fact, the headings used in each CEI Order are virtually the same. APCC and ICSPC each expect to request review of a number of determinations on questions of law that are common to more than one (and in some cases, all) of the CEI Orders. Consolidating the applications for review of each CEI Order would thus eliminate the need for duplicative pleadings on these common issues. Moreover, consolidation would lead to the most effective use of the Commission's limited resources.

Because there are <u>factual</u> variations among the individual <u>CEI Orders</u>, however, APCC and ICSPC each respectfully requests leave to exceed, if necessary, the 25-page limit for applications for review. An extension of the page limit is appropriate to ensure that each party can adequately address the factual issues related to all seven of the CEI plans in one consolidated application for review. APCC and ICSPC each intends to avoid unnecessarily lengthening its filing. In no event will either party require more than 50 pages for its individual application for review of the <u>CEI Orders</u>.

In short, in the interest of efficiency, the Commission should grant APCC and ICSPC each leave to consolidate its pending applications for review of the <u>CEI Orders</u> in one application by each party, and should extend the page limit for each consolidated application for review to a maximum 50 pages.

Dated: May 5, 1997

Respectfully submitted,

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Aldrich
David M. Janas
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN
& OSHINSKY LLP
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037-1526
(202) 785-9700

Attorneys for the American Public Communications Council and the Inmate Calling Service Providers Coalition

## Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on May 5, 1997, a copy of the foregoing Motion To Consolidate Its Pending Applications For Review And To Extend Page Limit For Consolidated Application was sent by first class United States mail to the following:

Michael Kellogg Kellogg Huber Hansen Todd & Evans 1300 K Street, N.W. Suite 1000 West Washington, DC 20005

Cecelia T. Roudiez Lawrence W. Katz Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies 1320 North Court House Road Arlington, VA 22201

Margaret E. Garber Pacific Telesis Group 1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 400 Washington, DC 20004

Sondra J. Tomlinson U S WEST, Inc. Suite 700 1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Frank Michael Panek Michael S. Pabian Room 4H84 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

M. Robert Sutherland A. Kirven Gilbert III BellSouth Corporation 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E., Suite 1700 Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Robert M. Lynch
Durward D. Dupre
Mary W. Marks
J. Paul Walters, Jr.
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
One Bell Center, Suite 3520
St. Louis, Missouri 63101
Campbell Y. Ayling
NYNEX Telephone Companies
1111 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, NY 10604-6400

ITS 1231 20th Street, N.W. Suite 102 Washington, DC 20037

Robert F. Aldrich